arXiv:1410.7483v1 [math.AP] 28 Oct 2014

GLOBAL SOLUTION IN CRITICAL SPACES TO THE COMPRESSIBLE OLDROYD-B MODEL WITH NON-SMALL COUPLING PARAMETER

RUIZHAO ZI

Abstract. This paper is dedicated to the global well-posedness issue of the compressible Oldroyd-B model in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$. It is shown that this set of equations admits a unique global solution in a certain critical Besov space provided the initial data, but not necessarily the coupling parameter, is small enough. This result extends the work by Fang and the author [J. Differential Equations, 256(2014), 2559–2602] to the non-small coupling parameter case.

1. Introduction

1.1. **The modeling.** Unsteady flows of viscoelastic fluids is described by the conservation of mass, and of momentum, and the constitutive equation of the fluid. Particularly, the Oldroyd-B fluids in $(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d, T>0, d\geq 2$ is governed by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u) = \operatorname{div}(\tau - p\,\mathrm{Id}), \\ \tau + \lambda\frac{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\tau}{\mathcal{D}t} = 2\eta(D(u) + \mu\frac{\mathcal{D}_\alpha D(u)}{\mathcal{D}t}), \\ (u,\rho,\tau)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0, \tau_0). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The unknown (ρ, u, τ) are the density, velocity and symmetric tensor of constrains, respectively. Id is the identity tensor, and the smooth function $p = p(\rho)$ is the pressure. Moreover, η is the total viscosity of the fluid, $\lambda > 0$ is the relaxation time, and μ is the retardation time with $0 < \mu < \lambda$. For a tensor $\mathbb{M}$, we denote by $\frac{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\mathbb{M}}{\mathcal{D}t}$ an objective derivative of the tensor $\mathbb{M}$, defined by

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\mathbb{M}}{\mathcal{D}t} = (\partial_t + (u\cdot\nabla))\mathbb{M} + \mathbb{M}W(u) - W(u)\mathbb{M} - \alpha(D(u)\mathbb{M} + \mathbb{M}D(u)),$$

where $D(u) = 1/2(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^\top)$, $W(u) = 1/2(\nabla u - (\nabla u)^\top)$ are the deformation tensor and the vorticity tensor respectively, and α is a parameter in [-1,1].

The symmetric tensor of constrains τ could be decomposed into the Newtonian part and the elastic part τ_e, i.e.,

$$\tau = 2\eta_s D(u) + \tau_e, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\eta_s = \eta\mu/\lambda$ is the solvent viscosity. Substituting (1.2) into $(1.1)_3$, we find that τ_e satisfies

$$\tau_e + \lambda\frac{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\tau_e}{\mathcal{D}t} = 2\eta_e D(u), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\eta_e = \eta - \eta_s$ is the polymer viscosity.

For the sake of simplicity, we denote τ_e by τ from now on. Then it follows from (1.1)–(1.3) that (ρ, u, τ) solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u) - \eta_s(\Delta u + \nabla\operatorname{div}u) + \nabla p = \operatorname{div}\tau, \\ \lambda(\partial_t\tau + (u\cdot\nabla)\tau + g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u)) + \tau = 2\eta_e D(u), \\ (u,\rho,\tau)|_{t=0} = (u_0,\rho_0,\tau_0), \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76A10, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. compressible Oldroyd-B model, critical Besov space, global existence.

where $g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u) := \tau W(u) - W(u)\tau - \alpha(D(u)\tau + \tau D(u))$ with $D(u)$, $W(u)$ and α defined as before. For more explanations on the modeling, see [34, 37] and references therein.

Introducing some dimensionless variables, c.f. [14], then system (1.4) can be reduced to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t b + \dfrac{\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{div} u}{\epsilon} + \mathrm{div}(bu) = 0, \\ \mathrm{Re}\,(\partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u) + \dfrac{\nabla b}{\epsilon} - (1-\omega)(\Delta + \nabla\mathrm{div})u \\ \qquad\qquad = -\dfrac{(1-\omega)\epsilon b}{\mathrm{Re}+\epsilon b}(\Delta+\nabla\mathrm{div})u + K^\epsilon(\epsilon b)\dfrac{\nabla b}{\epsilon} + \dfrac{\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{div}\tau}{\mathrm{Re}+\epsilon b}, \\ \mathrm{We}(\partial_t\tau + (u\cdot\nabla)\tau + g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u)) + \tau = 2\omega D(u), \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where the parameters $\epsilon, \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{We}, \omega$ are Mach number, Reynolds number, Weissenberg number and coupling constant, respectively, with $\omega \in (0,1)$. Moreover,

$$K^\epsilon(c) = \frac{c}{\mathrm{Re}+c} - \epsilon^2\frac{\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{dp}{d\rho}(\mathrm{Re}+c) - \frac{dp}{d\rho}(\mathrm{Re})\right)}{\mathrm{Re}+c}. \tag{1.6}$$

We would like to point out that, letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in (1.5), then we obtain (see [14]) the following incompressible Oldroyd-B model in dimensionless variables:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Re}\,(u_t + (u\cdot\nabla)u) - (1-\omega)\Delta u + \nabla\Pi = \mathrm{div}\tau, \\ \mathrm{We}(\tau_t + (u\cdot\nabla)\tau + g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u)) + \tau = 2\omega D(u), \\ \mathrm{div} u = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

where Π is the pressure which is the Lagrange multiplier for the divergence free condition.

In this paper, we focus on the case $\epsilon = 1$. Setting $a := \frac{b}{\mathrm{Re}}$, then (a,u,τ) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \mathrm{div} u + \mathrm{div}(au) = 0, \\ \partial_t u + (u\cdot\nabla)u - \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathcal{A}u + \nabla a - \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathrm{div}\tau \\ \qquad\qquad = -\dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}I(a)(\mathcal{A}u + \mathrm{div}\tau) + \tilde{K}(a)\nabla a, \\ \partial_t\tau + (u\cdot\nabla)\tau + g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u) + \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\tau = \dfrac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}D(u), \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathcal{A} := (1-\omega)(\Delta + \nabla\mathrm{div})$, $I(a) := \frac{a}{1+a}$ and

$$\tilde{K}(a) := \frac{a}{1+a} - \frac{\frac{dp}{d\rho}(\mathrm{Re}(1+a)) - \frac{dp}{d\rho}(\mathrm{Re})}{1+a}.$$

The theory of Oldroyd-B fluids recently gained quite some attention. Most of the results on Oldroyd-B fluids in the literature are about the incompressible model. The study of the incompressible Oldroyd-B model started by a pioneering paper given by Guillopé and Saut [17]. They proved that (i) system (1.7) admits a unique local strong solution in suitable Sobolev spaces $H^s(\Omega)$ for *bounded domains* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$; and (ii) this solution is global provided the data as well as the coupling constant ω between the velocity u and the symmetric tensor of the constrains τ are sufficiently small. For extensions to this results to the L^p-setting, see the work of Fernandéz-Cara, Guillén and Ortega [15]. Later on, Molinet and Talhouk [33] removed the smallness restriction on the coupling constant ω in [17]. The situation of *exterior domains* was considered first in [19], where the existence of a unique global strong solution defined in certain function spaces was proved provided the initial data and the coupling parameter ω are small enough. Recently, Fang, Hieber and the author [12] improved the main result given in [19] to the situation of non-small coupling constant.

For the *scaling invariant* approach, and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2$, Chemin and Masmodi in [7] proved the existence and uniqueness of the global solution to the Oldroyd-B model (1.7) with initial data (u_0,τ_0) belonging to the critical space $\left(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{p,1}\right)^d \times \left(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}}_{p,1}\right)^{d\times d}$ for any $p \in [1,\infty)$. A smallness restriction on the coupling constant ω is needed in this result. Afterwards, for general $\omega \in (0,1)$, Chen and Miao

[8] constructed global solutions to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model with small initial data in $B^s_{2,\infty}$, $s > \frac{d}{2}$. For the critical L^p framework, Fang, Zhang and the author [40] removed the smallness restriction on ω in [7] very recently.

For the *weak solutions* of incompressible Oldroyd-B fluids, see the work of Lions and Masmoudi [32] for the case $\alpha = 0$. The general case $\alpha \neq 0$ is still open up to now. As for the *blow-up criterions* of the incompressible Oldroyd-B model, there are works [7, 23, 28]. Besides, we would like to mention that Constantin and Kliegl [9] proved the global regularity of solutions in two dimensional case for the Oldroyd-B fluids with *diffusive stress*. An approach based on the *deformation tensor* can be found in [25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 35, 39].

On the other hand, the studies on compressible Oldroyd-B model have thrown up some interesting results. Lei [24] and Gullopé, Salloum and Talhouk [18] investigated the incompressible limit problem of the compressible Oldroyd-B model in a *torus* and bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, respectively. They showed that the compressible flows with *well-prepared* initial data converge to incompressible ones when the Mach number ϵ converges to zero. The case of *ill prepared* initial data was studied by Fang and the author [14] in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2$. In particular, if $\epsilon = 1$, we also obtained in [14] the existence and uniqueness of the global solution in critical spaces to system (1.5) with small coupling constant ω. The unique local strong solution to (1.4) with initial density ρ_0 *vanishing from below* and a blow-up criterion for this soltion were established in [13]. For the compressible Oldroyd type model based on the *deformation tensor*, see the results [11, 20, 21, 36] and references therein.

The aim of this paper is to study the compressible Oldroyd-B model (1.8) in the critical framework. This approach goes back to the pioneering work by Fujita and Kato [16] for the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. We refer to [3, 4, 22, 38] for a recent panorama. Strictly speaking, the compressible Oldroyd-B model does not have any scaling invariance. However, if we neglect the coupling term $\mathrm{div}\tau$ and the damping term τ, it is found that (1.4) is invariant under the transformation

$$(\rho_0, u_0, \tau_0) \to (\rho_0(\ell x), \ell u_0(\ell x), \tau_0(\ell x)),$$
$$(\rho(t,x), u(t,x), \tau(t,x), p(t,x)) \to (\rho(\ell^2 t, \ell x), \ell u(\ell^2 t, \ell x), \tau(\ell^2 t, \ell x), \ell^2 p(\ell^2 t, \ell x)),$$

for any $\ell > 0$. This motivates us to consider system (1.8) with initial data $(a_0, u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1,\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1} \times \left(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1}\right)^d \times \left(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}\right)^{d\times d}$. Different from our previous results in [14], the coupling constant ω we investigate here is not small any more and thus the problem is much more complicated. As a matter of fact, in [14] (a, u) and τ are treated separately. To be more precise, we bound (a, u) by using the estimates obtained by Danchin [10] for the linearized system of barotropic compressible Naviter-Stokes equations, namely

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} a_t + \Lambda d &=& 0, \\ d_t - \Delta d - \Lambda a &=& 0, \end{array}\right. \tag{1.9}$$

where $\Lambda := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The linear coupling term $\mathrm{div}\tau$ in the momentum equation is regarded as a source term, and the symmetric tensor of constrains τ is bounded with the aid of the well known estimates for transport equation. This is an easy way to get the global estimates of (a, u, τ) since the coupling between a and τ is neglected, nevertheless, in order to close the estimates, the price we have to pay is to impose some smallness restriction on the coupling constant ω. For general $\omega \in (0, 1)$, we must consider fully the coupling between a, u and τ, and deal with (a, u, τ) as a whole.

Let us now explain the main ingredients of the proof. Motivated by our previous result for incompressible Oldroyd-B model [40], we first consider the system of $(a, u, \mathrm{div}\tau)$. Indeed, in view of the scaling above, u possesses the same regularity with $\mathrm{div}\tau$ instead of τ, that is why it is more convenient to treat $(a, u, \mathrm{div}\tau)$ as a whole in the process of energy estimates in Besov spaces. To do so, our proof relies heavily on the following decomposition on u and $\mathrm{div}\tau$:

$$u = \mathbb{P}u + \mathbb{P}^{\perp}u, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{div}\tau = \mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau + \mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau,$$

where $\mathbb{P} := \mathrm{Id} + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathrm{div}$ is the Leray operator, and $\mathbb{P}^\perp := -\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathrm{div}$. Applying $\mathbb{P}^\perp$ and $\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}$ to the second and third equation of (1.8) respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \mathrm{div}u + \mathrm{div}(au) = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}^\perp u + \mathbb{P}^\perp((u\cdot\nabla)u) - \dfrac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u + \nabla a - \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau \\ \qquad\qquad = \mathbb{P}^\perp\left(-\dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}I(a)\left(\mathcal{A}u + \mathrm{div}\tau\right) + \tilde{K}(a)\nabla a\right), \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau + \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau - \dfrac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u = -\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\left((u\cdot\nabla)\tau + g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u)\right), \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbb{P}u + \mathbb{P}\left((u\cdot\nabla)u\right) - \dfrac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}u - \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau = -\dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\left(I(a)\left(\mathcal{A}u + \mathrm{div}\tau\right)\right), \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau + \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau - \dfrac{\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\Delta\mathbb{P}u = -\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\left((u\cdot\nabla)\tau + g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u)\right). \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

Obviously, the linear part of (1.11) is the same with that of the auxiliary system of $(u, \mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau)$ for the incompressible Oldroyd-B model (see [40] (1.12)), so the key point of this paper is to deal with the so called compressible part, i. e., system (1.10). For the same reason as the case of barotropic Navier-Stokes equations [10], we have to study the high frequency and low frequency part of system (1.10) in different ways. Roughly speaking, it is necessary to bound

$$k_q + \min(2^{2q}, 1)\int_0^t k_q dt', \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$k_q = \begin{cases} \|a_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}, & \text{if} \quad q \le q_0, \\ \|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}, & \text{if} \quad q > q_0, \end{cases}$$

for some $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. In order to get the decay of a and $\mathrm{div}\tau$, we make full use of the linear coupling terms ∇a and $\mathrm{div}\tau$, and the estimates are very delicate both in low and high frequency cases. Furthermore, different from the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations, it is shown that there is a gap between the high frequency and low frequency estimates of k_q. In other words, we can estimate (1.12) for $q > q_0$ and $q \le q_1$ with $q_1 < q_0$. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a new quantity

$$\tilde{k}_q := \|a_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}, \quad \text{if} \quad q_1 < q \le q_0.$$

According to Bernstein's inequality, $\tilde{k}_q$ is equivalent to k_q if $q_1 < q \le q_0$. Therefor, it suffices to bound (1.12) with k_q replaced by $\tilde{k}_q$ for $q_1 < q \le q_0$. This is the main novel part of this paper. Once the estimates of the compressible part $(a, \mathbb{P}^\perp u, \mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau)$ of $(a, u, \mathrm{div}\tau)$ is obtained, we can bound the incompressible part $(\mathbb{P}u, \mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau)$ in a similar and easier way. Putting them together, we get the estimates of $(a, u, \mathrm{div}\tau)$. On this basis, we bound τ directly via the third equation of (1.8), and hence obtain the global estimates of (a, u, τ) in the end. More details can be found in Section 3.

We shall obtain the existence and uniqueness of a solution (a, u, τ) to (1.8) in the following space.

For $T > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let us denote

$$\mathcal{E}^s_T := \tilde{C}_T(\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}) \cap L^1_T(\dot{B}^{s+1,s}_{2,1}) \times \left(\tilde{C}_T(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}) \cap L^1_T(\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})\right)^d \times \left(\tilde{C}_T(\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}) \cap L^1_T(\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1})\right)^{d\times d}.$$

We use the notation $\mathcal{E}^s$ if $T = \infty$, changing $[0, T]$ into $[0, \infty)$ in the definition above.

Our main result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \ge 2$. Assume that $(a_0, u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1,\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1} \times \left(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1}\right)^d \times \left(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}\right)^{d\times d}$. There exist two positive constants c and M, depending on d, ω, Re and We, such that if*

$$\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1,\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{p,1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}} \le c,$$

then system (1.8) *admits a unique global solution* (a,u,τ) *in* $\mathcal{E}^{\frac{d}{2}}$ *with*

$$\|(a,u,\tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq M\left(\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1}\cap\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}}\right).$$

Remark 1.1. *In a forthcoming paper, we will deal with the general case* $p \neq 2$.

Remark 1.2. *For incompressible Oldroyd-B model, using the estimates of the incompressible part in Section 3, we can give a new proof of the result in* [40] *for* $p = 2$ *without resorting to the Green matrix of the corresponding linearized system.*

The rest part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the tools (the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and paradifferential calculus) and give some nonlinear estimates in Besov space. Section 3 is devoted to the global estimates of the paralinearized system (3.1) of (1.8). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 4.

Notation.
(1) For $a, b \in L^2$, $(a|b)$ denotes the L^2 inner product of a and b.
(2) For $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}(f)$ is the Fourier transform of f; $\check{f} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform of f.

2. The Functional Tool Box

The results of the present paper rely on the use of a dyadic partition of unity with respect to the Fourier variables, the so-called the *Littlewood-Paley decomposition*. Let us briefly explain how it may be built in the case $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ which the readers may see more details in [1, 5]. Let (χ,φ) be a couple of C^∞ functions satisfying

$$\mathrm{Supp}\chi \subset \{|\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}, \quad \mathrm{Supp}\varphi \subset \{\frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\},$$

and

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{q\geq 0}\varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1,$$

$$\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}\varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1, \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Set $\varphi_q(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-q}\xi)$, $h_q = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_q)$, and $\tilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi)$. The dyadic blocks and the low-frequency cutoff operators are defined for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\dot{\Delta}_q u = \varphi(2^{-q}\mathrm{D})u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h_q(y)u(x-y)dy,$$

$$\dot{S}_q u = \chi(2^{-q}\mathrm{D})u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{h}_q(y)u(x-y)dy.$$

Then

$$u = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}\Delta_q u, \tag{2.1}$$

holds for tempered distributions *modulo polynomials*. As working modulo polynomials is not appropriate for nonlinear problems, we shall restrict our attention to the set $\mathcal{S}'_h$ of tempered distributions u such that

$$\lim_{q\to-\infty}\|\dot{S}_q u\|_{L^\infty} = 0.$$

Note that (2.1) holds true whenever u is in $\mathcal{S}'_h$ and that one may write

$$\dot{S}_q u = \sum_{p\leq q-1}\dot{\Delta}_p u.$$

Besides, we would like to mention that the Littlewood-Paley decomposition has a nice property of quasi-orthogonality:

$$\dot{\Delta}_p\dot{\Delta}_q u \equiv 0 \text{ if } |p-q|\geq 2 \text{ and } \dot{\Delta}_p(\dot{S}_{q-1}u\dot{\Delta}_q u)\equiv 0 \text{ if } |p-q|\geq 5. \tag{2.2}$$

One can now give the definition of homogeneous Besov spaces.

Definition 2.1. *For $s\in\mathbb{R}$, $(p,r)\in[1,\infty]^2$, and $u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we set*

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} = \left\|2^{sq}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^p}\right\|_{\ell^r}.$$

We then define the space $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}:=\{u\in\mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^d),\ \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}}<\infty\}$.

Since homogeneous Besov spaces fail to have nice inclusion properties, it is wise to define *hybrid Besov spaces* where the growth conditions satisfied by the dyadic blocks are different for low and high frequencies. In fact, hybrid Besov spaces played a crucial role for proving global well-posedness of compressible barotropic Navier-Stokes equations in critical spaces [10]. Let us now define the hybrid Besov spaces that we need. Here our notations are somehow different from those in [10].

Definition 2.2. *Let $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$, and $u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For some fixed $q_0\in\mathbb{Z}$, we set*

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s,t}_{2,1}} := \sum_{q\leq q_0}2^{qs}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2}+\sum_{q>q_0}2^{qt}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2}.$$

We then define the space $\dot{B}^{s,t}_{2,1}:=\{u\in\mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^d),\ \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s,t}_{2,1}}<\infty\}$.

Remark 2.1. *For all $s,t\in\mathbb{R},\tilde{q}_0\in\mathbb{Z}$, and $u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, setting*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{B}^{s,t}_{2,1}} := \sum_{q\leq \tilde{q}_0}2^{qs}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2}+\sum_{q>\tilde{q}_0}2^{qt}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2},$$

then it is easy to verify that $\|u\|_{\tilde{B}^{s,t}_{2,1}}\approx\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s,t}_{2,1}}$.

Notation. We will use the following notation:

$$\|u^l\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} := \sum_{q\leq q_0}2^{qs}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2},\quad \text{and}\quad \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}^t_{2,1}} := \sum_{q>q_0}2^{qt}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2}.$$

Obviously,

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s,t}_{2,1}} = \|u^l\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}}+\|u^h\|_{\dot{B}^t_{2,1}}.$$

The following lemma describes the way derivatives act on spectrally localized functions.

Lemma 2.1 (Bernstein's inequalities)**.** *Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $0<r<R$. There exists a constant C depending on r,R and d such that for all $(a,b)\in[1,\infty]^2$, we have for all $\lambda>0$ and multi-index α*

- *If $\operatorname{Supp}\hat{f}\subset B(0,\lambda R)$, then $\sup_{\alpha=k}\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^b}\leq C^{k+1}\lambda^{k+d(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b})}\|f\|_{L^a}$.*
- *If $\operatorname{Supp}\hat{f}\subset C(0,\lambda r,\lambda R)$, then $C^{-k-1}\lambda^k\|f\|_{L^a}\leq\sup_{|\alpha|=k}\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^a}\leq C^{k+1}\lambda^k\|f\|_{L^a}$*

Let us now state some classical properties for the Besov spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *For all $s,s_1,s_2\in\mathbb{R}$, $1\leq p,p_1,p_2,r,r_1,r_2\leq\infty$, the following properties hold true:*

- *If $p_1\leq p_2$ and $r_1\leq r_2$, then $\dot{B}^s_{p_1,r_1}\hookrightarrow\dot{B}^{s-\frac{d}{p_1}+\frac{d}{p_2}}_{p_2,r_2}$.*
- *If $s_1\neq s_2$ and $\theta\in(0,1)$, $\left[\dot{B}^{s_1}_{p,r_1},\dot{B}^{s_2}_{p,r_2}\right]_{(\theta,r)}=\dot{B}^{\theta s_1+(1-\theta)s_2}_{p,r}$.*
- *For any smooth homogeneous of degree $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ function F on $\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}$, the operator $F(D)$ maps $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$ in $\dot{B}^{s-m}_{p,r}$.*

Next we recall a few nonlinear estimates in Besov spaces which may be obtained by means of paradifferential calculus. Firstly introduced by J. M. Bony in [2], the paraproduct between f and g is defined by

$$\dot{T}_f g = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \dot{S}_{q-1} f \dot{\Delta}_q g,$$

and the remainder is given by

$$\dot{R}(f,g) = \sum_{q\geq -1} \dot{\Delta}_q f \tilde{\dot{\Delta}}_q g$$

with

$$\tilde{\dot{\Delta}}_q g = (\dot{\Delta}_{q-1} + \dot{\Delta}_q + \dot{\Delta}_{q+1}) g.$$

We have the following so-called Bony's decomposition:

$$fg = \dot{T}_f g + \dot{T}_g f + \dot{R}(f,g). \tag{2.3}$$

The paraproduct $\dot{T}$ and the remainder $\dot{R}$ operators satisfy the following continuous properties.

Proposition 2.2. *For all $s\in\mathbb{R}$, $\sigma>0$, and $1\leq p,p_1,p_2,r,r_1,r_2\leq\infty$, the paraproduct $\dot{T}$ is a bilinear, continuous operator from $L^\infty\times\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$ to $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$ and from $\dot{B}^{-\sigma}_{\infty,r_1}\times\dot{B}^s_{p,r_2}$ to $\dot{B}^{s-\sigma}_{p,r}$ with $\frac{1}{r}=\min\{1,\frac{1}{r_1}+\frac{1}{r_2}\}$. The remainder $\dot{R}$ is bilinear continuous from $\dot{B}^{s_1}_{p_1,r_1}\times\dot{B}^{s_2}_{p_2,r_2}$ to $\dot{B}^{s_1+s_2}_{p,r}$ with $s_1+s_2>0$, $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}\leq 1$, and $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{r_1}+\frac{1}{r_2}\leq 1$.*

In view of (2.3), Proposition 2.2 and Bernstein's inequalities, one easily deduces the following product estimates:

Corollary 2.1. *Let $p\in[1,\infty]$. If $s_1,s_2\leq\frac{d}{p}$ and $s_1+s_2>d\max\{0,\frac{2}{p}-1\}$, then there holds*

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{d}{p}}_{p,1}} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}_{p,1}}\|v\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}_{p,1}}. \tag{2.4}$$

In the following, we shall give a commutator estimate, which will be used to deal with the convection terms.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Lambda:=\sqrt{-\Delta}$, then*

$$\|[\Lambda^{-1},\dot{S}_{q-1}v\cdot\nabla]\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\nabla\dot{S}_{q-1}v\|_{L^\infty}\|\Lambda^{-1}\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Noting first that the support of $\dot{S}_{q-1}v\cdot\nabla\dot{\Delta}_q u$ lies in the annulus $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}:=B(0,\frac{2}{3})+\{\frac{3}{4}\leq|\xi|\leq\frac{8}{3}\}$, we choose a smooth function $\tilde{\varphi}$ supported in an annulus and with value 1 on a neighborhood of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Denote $\bar{\dot{\Delta}}_q=\tilde{\varphi}(2^{-q}\mathrm{D})$, i.e., $(\bar{\dot{\Delta}}_q\phi)\hat{}=\tilde{\varphi}(2^{-q}\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi)$, for any $\phi\in\mathcal{S}$. Direct calculations yield $\Lambda^{-1}\bar{\dot{\Delta}}_q=2^{-q}(|\cdot|^{-1}\tilde{\varphi})(2^{-q}\mathrm{D})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Lambda^{-1},\dot{S}_{q-1}v\cdot\nabla]\dot{\Delta}_q u &= [\Lambda^{-1}\bar{\dot{\Delta}}_q,\dot{S}_{q-1}v\cdot\nabla]\dot{\Delta}_q u\\
&= [2^{-q}(|\cdot|^{-1}\tilde{\varphi})(2^{-q}\mathrm{D}),\dot{S}_{q-1}v\cdot\nabla]\dot{\Delta}_q u\\
&= 2^{-q}\sum_{1\leq k\leq d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2^{qd}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^{-1}\tilde{\varphi})(2^q y)\\
&\quad\times\left(\dot{S}_{q-1}v^k(x-y)-\dot{S}_{q-1}v^k(x)\right)\partial_k\dot{\Delta}_q u(x-y)dy\\
&= -2^{-2q}\sum_{1\leq k\leq d}\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2^{qd}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^{-1}\tilde{\varphi})(2^q y)\\
&\quad\times(2^q y)\cdot\nabla\dot{S}_{q-1}v^k(x-ty)\partial_k\dot{\Delta}_q u(x-y)dydt.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using convolution inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|[\Lambda^{-1},\dot{S}_{q-1}v\cdot\nabla]\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2}\\
\leq\ & C2^{-2q}\|\nabla\dot{S}_{q-1}v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2}\|y\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^{-1}\tilde{\varphi})\|_{L^1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad C\|\nabla \dot{S}_{q-1}v\|_{L^\infty}\|\Lambda^{-1}\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2},$$

where we have used the fact that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a smooth function supported in an annulus, so is $|\xi|^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}(\xi)$, and hence $y\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^{-1}\tilde{\varphi})$ is integrable. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

The study of non-stationary PDEs requires spaces of the type $L^\rho_T(X) = L^\rho(0,T;X)$ for appropriate Banach spaces X. In our case, we expect X to be a Besov space, so that it is natural to localize the equations through Littlewood-Paley decomposition. We then get estimates for each dyadic block and perform integration in time. But, in doing so, we obtain the bounds in spaces which are not of the type $L^\rho(0,T;\dot{B}^s_{p,r})$. That naturally leads to the following definition introduced by Chemin and Lerner in [6].

Definition 2.3. *For $\rho \in [1,+\infty]$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $T \in (0,+\infty)$, we set*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} = \left\| 2^{qs}\|\dot{\Delta}_q u(t)\|_{L^\rho_T(L^p)} \right\|_{\ell^r}$$

and denote by $\tilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})$ the subset of distributions $u \in \mathcal{S}'([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^N)$ with finite $\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})}$ norm. When $T = +\infty$, the index T is omitted. We further denote $\tilde{C}_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r}) = C([0,T];\dot{B}^s_{p,r}) \cap \tilde{L}^\infty_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})$.

Remark 2.2. *All the properties of continuity for the paraproduct, remainder, and product remain true for the Chemin-Lerner spaces. The exponent ρ just has to behave according to Hölder's ineauality for the time variable.*

Remark 2.3. *The spaces $\tilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})$ can be linked with the classical space $L^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})$ via the Minkowski inequality:*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \leq \|u\|_{L^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \quad \text{if} \quad r \geq \rho, \qquad \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \geq \|u\|_{L^\rho_T(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \quad \text{if} \quad r \leq \rho.$$

3. Linearized system

We begin this section by giving the paralinearized version of system (1.8)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}_v a) + \operatorname{div} u = F, \\ \partial_t u + \dot{T}_v \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathcal{A}u + \nabla a - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\operatorname{div}\tau = G, \\ \partial_t \tau + \dot{T}_v \cdot \nabla \tau + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\tau - \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}D(u) = L, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where v, F, G and L are some known functions. The purpose of this section is to establish the following property of system (3.1).

Proposition 3.1. *Let (a,u,τ) be the solution to* (3.1)*. There exists a constant C depending on $d, \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{We}$ and ω, such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|a(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} \\ &+\|a\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s}_{2,1})} + \|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})} + \|\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})} \\ \leq\ & C\exp\left(C\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\right)\Big(\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} \\ &+\|F\|_{L^1(\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1})} + \|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})} + \|L\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Before proceeding any further, let us localize the system (3.1). Similar to (1.10) and (1.11), we find that $(a_q, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_q, \mathbb{P}^\perp \operatorname{div}\tau_q)$ and $(\mathbb{P}u_q, \mathbb{P}\operatorname{div}\tau_q)$ satisfy respectively

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a_q + \operatorname{div}(v_q a_q) + \operatorname{div}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q = f_q, \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}^\perp u_q + v_q \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_q - \frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q + \nabla a_q - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp\operatorname{div}\tau_q = g_q^\sharp, \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}^\perp\operatorname{div}\tau_q + v_q \cdot \nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp\operatorname{div}\tau_q + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\mathbb{P}^\perp\operatorname{div}\tau_q - \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q = h_q^\sharp, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t \mathbb{P}u_q + v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q - \frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q = g_q^\flat,\\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q + v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q - \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q = h_q^\flat,\end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where $v_q := \dot{S}_{q-1}v$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_q &:= F_q + \mathrm{div}(v_q a_q - \dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v a),\\ g_q^\sharp &:= \mathbb{P}^\perp G_q + \big(v_q\cdot\nabla\dot{\Delta}_q\mathbb{P}^\perp u - \mathbb{P}^\perp\dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla u\big),\\ h_q^\sharp &:= \mathbb{P}^\perp H_q + \big(v_q\cdot\nabla\dot{\Delta}_q\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau - \mathbb{P}^\perp\dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{div}\tau\big),\\ g_q^\flat &:= \mathbb{P}G_q + \big(v_q\cdot\nabla\dot{\Delta}_q\mathbb{P}u - \mathbb{P}\dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla u\big),\\ h_q^\flat &:= \mathbb{P}H_q + \big(v_q\cdot\nabla\dot{\Delta}_q\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau - \mathbb{P}\dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla\mathrm{div}\tau\big),\end{aligned}$$

with $H^k := (\mathrm{div}L)^k - \sum_{1\le i,j\le d}\dot{T}_{\partial_i v^j}\partial_j\tau^{i,k}$.

The Compressible Part

Since the parabolic-hyperbolic system (3.3) behaves differently in high and low frequency, we have to deal with the high and low frequency part of (3.3) in different ways. To simplify the presentation, in the following we give all the estimates which are needed both for high and low frequency cases. To begin with, taking the L^2 inner product of $(3.3)_1$ with a_q and Δa_q respectively, integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mathrm{div}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|a_q) = (f_q|a_q) - \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{div}v_q|a_q|^2, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla a_q) = (\nabla f_q|\nabla a_q) + \int a_q\partial_{ij}a_q\partial_i v_q^j - \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{div}v_q|\nabla a_q|^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Next, taking the L^2 inner product of $(3.3)_2$ with $\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q$ and of $(3.3)_3$ with $\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla a_q) \;&-\; \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &= (g_q^\sharp|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) + \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{div}v_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 \;&-\; \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &= (h_q^\sharp|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{div}v_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

In order to deal with the low frequency part, we also need to give the L^2 estimate of $\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q$. To do so, applying first the operator Λ^{-1} to third equation of (3.3), and then taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q$, we are let to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 \;&+\; \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &= (\Lambda^{-1}h_q^\sharp|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q) - (\Lambda^{-1}(v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q).\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Owing to the fact that the linear operator associated with (3.3) can not be diagonalized in a basis independent of ξ, coercive estimates can not be achieved by means of a linear combination of (3.5)–(3.9). We must make full use the linear coupling terms in (3.3). Accordingly, the following three equalities of cross terms are given. More precisely, first of all, applying ∇ to the first equation of

(3.3), taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q$. Secondly, taking the L^2 inner product of the second equation of (3.3) with ∇a_q. Summing up these two results, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) - \|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2 \quad &- \quad \frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla a_q) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &= \quad (\nabla f_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) + (g_q^\sharp|\nabla a_q) + \int a_q \partial_j v_q^i \partial_i \mathbb{P}^\perp u_q^i. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Similarly, we get the equalities involving the quantity $(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)$ and $(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &-\frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + (\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &= (g_q^\sharp|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + (h_q^\sharp|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) + \int \mathrm{div} v_q \mathbb{P}^\perp u_q \cdot \mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &-\frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + (\nabla a_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &= (g_q^\sharp|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + (h_q^\sharp|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) - (v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) - (v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Now let us define

$$\begin{aligned} Y_q \quad := \quad &\Bigg[M\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\mathrm{ReWe}\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+2\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\right) - 2\left(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } q > q_0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_q \quad := \quad &\Bigg[\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + M'\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q)\\ &+2(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + 2(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } q \le q_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_q \quad := \quad &\Bigg[\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\frac{2\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) - \frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } q_1 < q \le q_0, \end{aligned}$$

where q_0, q_1, M, M', α and β will be determined later. Next, we will estimate Y_q for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ step by step.

Step 1: high frequencies.

Multiplying (3.6) and (3.10) by $2\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2$ and $\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}$ respectively, summing up the resulting equations yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(2\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|^2 + 2\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\right)\right)\\ &-\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\ &= 2\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2 R.H.S.\ of\ (3.6) + \frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}} R.H.S.\ of\ (3.10). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Multiplying (3.8) and (3.11) by $\left(\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2$ and $-\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}$ respectively, summing up the resulting equations, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2-2\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&-\left(\nabla a_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)-\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\
=\ &\left(\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2 R.H.S.\ of\ (3.8)-\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\ of\ (3.11).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Multiplying (3.7) and (3.13) by M and ReWe respectively, adding them to (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left[M\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+2\mathrm{ReWe}\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\right.\\
&\left.+2\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\right)-2\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)+(2M-2-\mathrm{ReWe})\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\
&-(1+\omega)\left(\nabla a_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)+M(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\nabla a_q)-\frac{M}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
=\ &M\,R.H.S.\ of\ (3.7)+\mathrm{ReWe}R.H.S.\ of\ (3.13)+R.H.S.\ of\ (3.14).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

By using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$2\mathrm{ReWe}\left|\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\right)\right|\le\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\right),$$

and

$$2\left|\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right|\le\frac{3}{2}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{2}{3}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&M\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+2\mathrm{ReWe}\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+2\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\right)-2\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\
\ge\ &(M-\mathrm{ReWe}-\frac{3}{2})\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\mathrm{ReWe}\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{3}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Moreover,

$$(1+\omega)\left(\nabla a_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\le\frac{1+\omega}{2}\left((1-\omega)\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\right),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\
&-(1+\omega)\left(\nabla a_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{(1-\omega)}{2}\left((1-\omega)\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&= \frac{(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}{2}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

The rest terms on the left hand side of (3.15) can be bounded as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
M|(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\nabla a_q)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{M^2}{(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\left(\frac{4}{3}2^{-q_0}\right)^2\frac{M^2}{(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{M}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right|\\
&\leq \frac{M}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{M^2}{(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left(\frac{4}{3}2^{-q_0}\right)^2\frac{M^2}{(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.17)–(3.19), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2M-2-\mathrm{ReWe})\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2-(1+\omega)\left(\nabla a_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\
&+M(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\nabla a_q)-\frac{M}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)-\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\
&\geq \left((2M-2-\mathrm{ReWe})\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}-\left(\frac{4}{3}2^{-q_0}\right)^2\frac{2M^2}{(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}\right)\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\frac{1}{4}(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $M=\mathrm{ReWe}+2$, and

$$2^{q_0}\geq\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{2\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{ReWe}+2)}{(1-\omega)^3\mathrm{We}}}, \qquad (3.21)$$

then it is easy to verify that

$$M-\mathrm{ReWe}-\frac{3}{2}\geq\frac{1}{2},\quad\text{and}\quad(2M-2-\mathrm{ReWe})\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}-\left(\frac{4}{3}2^{-q_0}\right)^2\frac{2M^2}{(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}\geq\frac{\mathrm{ReWe}+2}{4}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}. \qquad (3.22)$$

Next, we estimate the right hand side of (3.15). Indeed, using Cauchy-Schwarz and Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&R.H.S.of(3.15)\\
&\leq C\left(\|\nabla f_q\|_{L^2}+\|g_q^{\sharp}\|_{L^2}+\|h_q^{\sharp}\|_{L^2}\right)\left(\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\right)\\
&+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla a_q\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\right)^2. \qquad (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of commutator estimates, cf. [1], we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla f_q\|_{L^2} + \|g_q^\sharp\|_{L^2} + \|h_q^\sharp\|_{L^2} \\
\leq\ &\|\nabla F_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp G_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp H_q\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q|\leq 4} \Big(\|\nabla a_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|u_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}
$$

Now from (3.16), (3.20)–(3.24), we find that there exist two constants c_1 and C depending on d, Re, We and ω, such that if $q > q_0$, then (3.15) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}Y_q + c_1 Y_q \ \leq\ & C\bigg(\|\nabla F_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp G_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp H_q\|_{L^2} \\
&+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q|\leq 4} \Big(\|\nabla a_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|u_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2}\Big)\bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}
$$

Step 2: low frequencies.

Part **(i)**. $q \leq q_1$. Multiplying (3.10) and (3.11) by $\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}$ and $\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}$ respectively, and then adding them to (3.5) and (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\bigg[\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) + \frac{2\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)\bigg] \\
&+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\mathrm{We}}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{2(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla a_q) \\
&-\frac{2(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) - \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) \\
&= R.H.S.\,of\ (3.5)\ and\ (3.7) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}} R.H.S.\,of\ (3.10) + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}} R.H.S.\,of\ (3.11).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}
$$

Multiplying (3.8) and (3.12) by $M'\left(\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2$ and $\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}$ respectively, adding them to the above inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\bigg[\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + M'\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q) \\
&+2(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + 2(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)\bigg] + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&-\frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{M'-1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{2(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla a_q) + (1 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{ReWe}})(\nabla a_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) \\
&+\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}} - \frac{2(1-\omega)+2M'\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) \\
&-\frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) + (\nabla a_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q) \\
&= R.H.S.\,of\ (3.26) + M'\left(\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2 R.H.S.\,of\ (3.8) + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}} R.H.S.\,of\ (3.12).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}
$$

Now we estimate the cross terms on the left hand side of (3.27).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}|(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q)| \ &\leq\ \frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\Big(\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2\Big) \\
&\leq\ \frac{1}{4}\Big(\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}
$$

provided

$$2^{q_1} \leq \frac{3}{32}\mathrm{Re}. \tag{3.29}$$

$$2\left|(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\left|(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right| &\leq \left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\left(\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

provided

$$2^{q_1} \leq \frac{3}{16}. \tag{3.32}$$

From (3.28)–(3.32), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + M'\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q) \\ &+2(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + 2(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) \\ \geq\ & \frac{3}{4}\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + (M' - \frac{17}{4})\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.1 over and over again, the rest cross terms on the left hand side of (3.27) can be bounded in a similar way. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}|(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\nabla a_q)| &\leq \frac{2(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}2^{q_1}\frac{8}{3}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{8}{3\mathrm{Re}}2^{q_1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

provided

$$2^{q_1} \leq \frac{3}{32}\mathrm{Re}. \tag{3.35}$$

$$\left|(1 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{ReWe}})(\nabla a_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{(\mathrm{ReWe}-1)^2}{\mathrm{ReWe}}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}} - \frac{2(1-\omega)+2M'\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right| \\ \leq\ & (\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}} + \frac{2M'}{\mathrm{Re}})\|\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2} \\ \leq\ & \frac{1}{4}\frac{M'}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\left(\frac{4M'^2\mathrm{We}}{(M'-1)\mathrm{Re}} + \frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}\right)\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\left|(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right| \\ \leq\ & \frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^3\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{4\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(2^{q_1}\frac{8}{3}\right)^6\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|(\nabla a_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right|\\ &\leq \left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2+\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^4\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\leq 2\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.40}$$

It follows from (3.34)–(3.40) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{M'-1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{2(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\nabla a_q)+(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{ReWe}})(\nabla a_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &+\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}-\frac{2(1-\omega)+2M'\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &-\frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)+(\nabla a_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ \geq\ &\left(\frac{3}{4}-\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\left(\frac{4M'^2\mathrm{We}}{(M'-1)\mathrm{Re}}+\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}\right)-\frac{4\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(2^{q_1}\frac{8}{3}\right)^6-2\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\right)\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^4\right)\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\left(\frac{3M'}{4}-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{(\mathrm{ReWe}-1)^2}{\mathrm{ReWe}}\right)\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Taking

$$M':=\frac{4}{3}\left(\mathrm{ReWe}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{ReWe}}\right)+2, \tag{3.42}$$

and

$$2^{q_1}\leq\frac{3}{32}\min\left(1,\mathrm{Re},\frac{2}{(\mathrm{ReWe})^{\frac{1}{4}}},\left(\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},2\left(\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}},\frac{\sqrt{M'-1}}{2M'}\cdot\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}}\right), \tag{3.43}$$

then (3.29), (3.32) and (3.35) hold, and

$$\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^4\leq\frac{1}{16},\qquad\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\frac{4M'^2\mathrm{We}}{(M'-1)\mathrm{Re}}\leq\frac{1}{16},$$
$$\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}\leq\frac{1}{16},\quad\frac{4\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^6\leq\frac{1}{16},\quad 2\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\leq\frac{1}{8}.$$

Accordingly,

$$M'-\frac{17}{4}\geq\frac{5}{12}, \tag{3.44}$$

$$\frac{3}{4}-\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\left(\frac{4M'^2\mathrm{We}}{(M'-1)\mathrm{Re}}+\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}\right)-\frac{4\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(2^{q_1}\frac{8}{3}\right)^6-2\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^2\geq\frac{7}{16}, \tag{3.45}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{ReWe}\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_1}\right)^4\geq\frac{7}{16},\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{3M'}{4}-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{(\mathrm{ReWe}-1)^2}{\mathrm{ReWe}}\geq 2. \tag{3.46}$$

Next, we estimate the right hand side of (3.27). To this end, notice first that integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}&-(v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)-(v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q)\\ =\;&(\nabla v_q\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)+(\nabla v_q\nabla\mathrm{div}\tau_q|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q)-(\mathrm{div}v_q|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q:\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q).\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

Substituting this equality to (3.27), using the fact $q\leq q_1$, it is not difficult to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}&R.H.S.of\ (3.27)\\ \leq\;&C\left(\|a_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\right)\\ &\cdot\left[\left(\|f_q\|_{L^2}+\|g_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}+\|h_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}\|\right)+\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^\infty}\left(\|a_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Similar to (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_q\|_{L^2}+\|g_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}+\|h_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}\\ \leq\;&\|F_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp G_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp H_q\|_{L^2}+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 4}\left(\|a_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Now we infer from (3.33), (3.41)–(3.46), (3.48) and (3.49) that there exist two constants c_2 and C depending on $d,\mathrm{Re},\mathrm{We}$ and ω, such that if $q\leq q_1$, then (3.27) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}Y_q+c_2 2^{2q}Y_q\;\leq\;&C\Big(\|F_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp G_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp H_q\|_{L^2}\\ &+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 4}\left(\|a_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2}\right)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

Part (**ii**). $q_1<q\leq q_0$. Multiplying (3.9) by $\frac{\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}$, adding the resulting equation to (3.5) and (3.7), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\ &+\frac{2(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ \leq\;&R.H.S.\ of\ (3.5)\ and\ (3.7)+\frac{\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\ of\ (3.9).\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Multiplying (3.10) and (3.11) by $\alpha\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}$ and $-\beta\frac{1-\omega}{2\omega}\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}$ respectively, adding them to (3.51), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\frac{2\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q)-\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\Big)+\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+(2-\alpha-\beta)\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{2\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &+\frac{1}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{2\alpha(1-\omega)^2}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla a_q)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)+\frac{\beta(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
&-\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)-\frac{\beta(1-\omega)}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
\leq\ & \frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\,of\ (3.10)-\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\,of\ (3.11)+R.H.S.\,of\ (3.51). \qquad (3.52)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we estimate the cross terms in the left hand side of (3.52) one by one. Indeed, all of them can be bounded by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.1. More precisely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}|(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q)| &\leq \frac{8}{3}2^{q_0}\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2\right), \qquad (3.53)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

$$
\alpha\leq 2^{-q_0}\frac{3}{32}\mathrm{Re}. \qquad (3.54)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}|(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)| &\leq \frac{\beta\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\frac{8}{3}2^{q_0}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\left(\frac{\beta\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\frac{8}{3}2^{q_0}\right)^2\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.55)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

$$
\beta\leq\frac{3}{16}2^{-q_0}\sqrt{\frac{\omega\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}}. \qquad (3.56)
$$

Consequently, if (3.54) and (3.56) hold, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\frac{2\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q)-\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
\geq\ & \frac{3}{4}\|a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.57)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\alpha(1-\omega)^2}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}|(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\nabla a_q)| &\leq \left(\sqrt{\alpha}\frac{8}{3}2^{q_0}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)\left[\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\alpha\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2\right)\right]\\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\alpha\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2\right)\right], \qquad (3.58)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

$$
\alpha\leq\left(2^{-q_0}\frac{3}{32}\mathrm{Re}\right)^2. \qquad (3.59)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}|(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)| &\leq \left(\sqrt{\alpha}\frac{4}{3}2^{q_0}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\leq \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\right), \qquad (3.60)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

$$\alpha \leq \left(2^{-q_0}\frac{3}{32}\mathrm{Re}\right)^2. \tag{3.61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\beta(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}|(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{4}\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_0}\right)^2\|\nabla\mathbb{P}\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{4}\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.62)
\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$\beta \leq \left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_0}\right)^{-2}\frac{\omega\mathrm{Re}}{4\mathrm{We}}. \tag{3.63}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}|(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{4}\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{2\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.64)
\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$\beta \leq \frac{\omega\alpha}{2\mathrm{ReWe}}. \tag{3.65}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\beta(1-\omega)}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}|(\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\\
=\ & \frac{\beta(1-\omega)}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}|(\Lambda\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\beta^2}{2\omega}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.66)
\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$\beta \leq \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}}. \tag{3.67}$$

Collecting (3.54), (3.56), (3.59), (3.61), (3.63), (3.65) and(3.67), we choose

$$\alpha \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{4}, 2^{-q_0}\frac{3}{32}\mathrm{Re}, \left(2^{-q_0}\frac{3}{32}\mathrm{Re}\right)^2\right) \tag{3.68}$$

and

$$\beta \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{4}, 2^{-q_0}\frac{3}{16}\sqrt{\frac{\omega\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}}, \left(\frac{8}{3}2^{q_0}\right)^{-2}\frac{\omega\mathrm{Re}}{4\mathrm{We}}, \frac{\omega\alpha}{2\mathrm{ReWe}}, \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}}\right). \tag{3.69}$$

Then (3.57) and the following inequality hold,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+(2-\alpha-\beta)\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{2\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\frac{1}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^{\perp}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{2\alpha(1-\omega)^2}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^{\perp}u_q|\nabla a_q)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\alpha(1-\omega)}{(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathrm{div}\tau_q)+\frac{\beta(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
&-\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\nabla a_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)-\frac{\beta(1-\omega)}{2\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
\geq\ & \frac{3\alpha}{8}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla a_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{3}{4}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{8\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{4\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.70)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are left to bound the right hand side of (3.52). To do so, noting first that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\Lambda^{-1}(v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
=\ & ([\Lambda^{-1},v_q\cdot\nabla]\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)-\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{div}v_q|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

then Lemma 2.2 implies

$$
|(\Lambda^{-1}(v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\leq C\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^\infty}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.71)
$$

As a result, by virtue of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact $q\leq q_0$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R.H.S.of(3.52)\\
\leq\ & C\left(\|f_q\|_{L^2}+\|g_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{-1}h_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}\right)\left(\|a_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}+\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}\right)\\
&+C\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^\infty}\left(\|a_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_q\|_{L^2}+\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}\right)^2. \qquad (3.72)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the commutator estimates in [1] once more, one easily deduces that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|f_q\|_{L^2}+\|g_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{-1}h_q^\sharp\|_{L^2}\\
\leq\ & C\Big(\|F_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp G_q\|_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp H_q\|_{L^2}\\
&+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 4}\left(\|a_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2}\right)\Big). \qquad (3.73)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.57), (3.70) and (3.72)–(3.73), we conclude that there exist two constants c_3 and C depending on d, Re, We and ω, such that if $q_1<q\leq q_0$ and α,β satisfy (3.68) and (3.69), then (3.52) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}Y_q+c_3 2^{2q}Y_q\ \leq\ & C\Big(\|F_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}^\perp G_q\|_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}^\perp H_q\|_{L^2}\\
&+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 4}\left(\|a_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2}\right)\Big). \qquad (3.74)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Incompressible Part

We begin this part by giving the following five equalities in which the L^2 estimates and cross terms of the corresponding incompressible part $(\mathbb{P}u_q,\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)$ of $(u_q,\mathrm{div}\tau_q)$ are involved. Since they are obtained in the same way with those in the compressible part, we give a list of the results directly.

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)=(g_q^\flat|\mathbb{P}u_q)+\frac{1}{2}\int\mathrm{div}v_q|\mathbb{P}u_q|^2. \qquad (3.75)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{\omega}{\mathrm{We}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
=\ & (h_q^\flat|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)+\frac{1}{2}\int\mathrm{div}v_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q|^2. \qquad (3.76)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 \quad + \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{We}}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &\qquad = \quad (\Lambda^{-1}h_q^\flat|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) - (\Lambda^{-1}(v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q).\end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) = (g_q^\flat|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + (h_q^\flat|\mathbb{P}u_q) + \int \mathrm{div}v_q\mathbb{P}u_q\cdot\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q.\end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{We}}\|\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\ &-\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\ &= (g_q^\flat|\Delta\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + (h_q^\flat|\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q) - (v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) - (v_q\cdot\nabla\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q|\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q).\end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

Denote

$$\tilde{Y}_q := \left[2\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } q > q_0,$$

$$\tilde{Y}_q := \left[\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + M'\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + 2(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } q \le q_1,$$

$$\tilde{Y}_q := \left[\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{2\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } q_1 < q \le q_0,$$

where q_0, q_1, M', β are given as in the compressible part. Next, we shall bound $\tilde{Y}_q$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Step 1: high frequencies.
Similar to (3.14), from (3.76), (3.78), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\ &= \left(\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2 R.H.S.\ of\ (3.76) - \frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\ of\ (3.78).\end{aligned} \tag{3.80}$$

Multiplying (3.75) by 2, and then adding the resulting inequality to (3.80) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(2\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right)\\ &+\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\ &-\frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q|\mathbb{P}u_q) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\ &= 2R.H.S.\ of\ (3.75) + \left(\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2 R.H.S.\ of\ (3.76) - \frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\ of\ (3.78).\end{aligned} \tag{3.81}$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2-2\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\
\approx\ & \|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.82)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q|\mathbb{P}u_q)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right|\\
=\ & \frac{1+\omega}{1-\omega}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left|\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\right|\\
\le\ & \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{1-\omega}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\left(\frac{4}{3}2^{-q_0}\right)^2\frac{2}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
\le\ & \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{1-\omega}\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.83)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided

$$
\left(\frac{4}{3}2^{-q_0}\right)^2\frac{2}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\le\frac{1}{2}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}},\qquad \text{i.e.}\qquad 2^{q_0}\ge\frac{8}{3(1-\omega)}\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}}. \qquad (3.84)
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{2\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{ReWe}+2)}{(1-\omega)^3\mathrm{We}}}\ge\frac{8}{3(1-\omega)}\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{We}}}.
$$

Therefore, (3.84) is a consequence of (3.21). It follows from (3.83) and (3.84) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2\\
& -\frac{2}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q|\mathbb{P}u_q)-\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\left(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right)\\
\ge\ & \frac{1}{2}\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.85)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and commutator estimates, we can bound the right hand side of (3.81) as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R.H.S.of(3.81)\\
\le\ & C\left(\|g_q^\flat\|_{L^2}+\|h_q^\flat\|_{L^2}\right)\left(\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\right)\\
& +C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\left(\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}+\left\|\frac{(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\right\|_{L^2}\right)^2\\
\le\ & C\left(\|\mathbb{P}G_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}H_q\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q-q'|\le 4}(\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2})\right). \qquad (3.86)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (3.86) into (3.81), using (3.82) and (3.85), we find that there exist constants $\tilde{c}_1$ and C depending on d, Re, We, and ω, such that if $q\ge q_0$, there holds

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{Y}_q+\tilde{c}_1\tilde{Y}_q\le C\left(\|\mathbb{P}G_q\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbb{P}H_q\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q-q'|\le 4}(\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2})\right). \qquad (3.87)
$$

Step 2: low frequencies.

Part **(i)**. $q \le q_1$. Similar to (3.27), a linear combination of (3.75), (3.76), (3.78) and (3.79) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left[\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + M'\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) + 2(\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right]\\
&+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{M'-1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}(\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\Delta\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
&+\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{We}} - \frac{(1-\omega)+M'\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)(\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q|\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
=\ & R.H.S.\,of\ (3.75) + M'\left(\frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}\right)^2 R.H.S.\,of\ (3.76) + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\,of\ (3.78)\ and\ (3.79),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

where M' is the same as in (3.27). The cross terms in (3.88) and the right hand side can be estimated in a similar manner as those in (3.27), accordingly, we infer from (3.88) that there exist constants $\tilde{c}_2$ and C depending on $d, \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{We}$, and ω, such that if $q \le q_1$, there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{Y}_q + \tilde{c}_2 2^{2q}\tilde{Y}_q \le C\left(\|\mathbb{P}G_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}H_q\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q-q'|\le 4}(\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2})\right). \tag{3.89}$$

Part **(ii)**. $q_1 < q \le q_0$. Similar to (3.52), by a linear combination of (3.75), (3.77) and (3.78), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{2\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\right)\\
&+(1-\beta)\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}\|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}\|\Lambda^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\frac{\beta(1-\omega)^2\mathrm{We}}{\omega(\mathrm{Re})^2}(\Delta\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q) - \frac{\beta(1-\omega)}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}(\mathbb{P}u_q|\mathbb{P}\mathrm{div}\tau_q)\\
\le\ & R.H.S.\,of\ (3.75) + \frac{\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\,of\ (3.77) - \frac{\beta(1-\omega)\mathrm{We}}{\omega\mathrm{Re}}R.H.S.\,of\ (3.78),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.90}$$

where β is the same as in (3.52). Arguing as in the corresponding compressible case, we find that there exist constants $\tilde{c}_3$ and C depending on $d, \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{We}$, and ω, such that if $q_1 < q \le q_0$, (3.90) implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{Y}_q + \tilde{c}_3 2^{2q}\tilde{Y}_q \le C\left(\|\mathbb{P}G_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbb{P}H_q\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q-q'|\le 4}(\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2})\right). \tag{3.91}$$

Global estimates of $(a, u, \mathrm{div}\tau)$

Let $X_q := Y_q + \tilde{Y}_q$. Moreover, we set

$$s(q) := \begin{cases} 2^q, & \text{if} \quad q > q_0,\\ 1, & \text{if} \quad q \le q_0, \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \quad \tilde{s}(q) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad q > q_0,\\ 2^{2q}, & \text{if} \quad q \le q_0. \end{cases}$$

Recalling the definition of Y_q and $\tilde{Y}_q$, using Bernstein's inequalities, we infer from (3.16), (3.33), (3.57) and the corresponding estimates for incompressible part that

$$X_q \approx s(q)\|a_q\|_{L^2} + \|u_q\|_{L^2} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.92}$$

Now collecting (3.25), (3.50), (3.74), (3.87), (3.89) and (3.91), we conclude that there exist constants $\bar{c}$ and C depending on $d, \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{We}$, and ω, such that for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\frac{d}{dt}X_q + \bar{c}\tilde{s}(q)X_q$$

$$\leq C\left(s(q)\|F_q\|_{L^2}+\|G_q\|_{L^2}+\|H_q\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 4}X_{q'}\right). \tag{3.93}$$

Performing a time integration in (3.93), multiplying the resulting inequality by $2^{q(s-1)}$, and taking sum w. r. t. q over $\mathbb{Z}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{q(s-1)}X_q(t)+\bar{c}\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{s}(q)2^{q(s-1)}\int_0^t X_q dt'\\
\leq\ &\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{q(s-1)}X_q(0)+C(\|F\|_{L^1(\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1})}+\|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}+\|H\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})})\\
&+C\int_0^t\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{q(s-1)}X_q dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact (3.92), this inequality is nothing but

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}}+\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}\\
&+\|a\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s}_{2,1})}+\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s-1}_{2,1})}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s-1}_{2,1})}\\
\leq\ &C\Big(\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}}+\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}+\|F\|_{L^1(\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1})}+\|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}+\|H\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}\\
&+C\int_0^t\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}(\|a(t')\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}}+\|u(t')\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau(t')\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}})dt'\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

Gronwall's inequality implies then that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}}+\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}\\
&+\|a\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s}_{2,1})}+\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s-1}_{2,1})}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s-1}_{2,1})}\\
\leq\ &C\exp\left(C\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\right)\Big(\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}}+\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}+\|\mathrm{div}\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}\\
&+\|F\|_{L^1(\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1})}+\|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}+\|H\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.94}$$

The smoothing effect of u

Applying $\dot{\Delta}_q$ to the equation of $(3.1)_2$ yields

$$\partial_t u_q+v_q\cdot\nabla u_q-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathcal{A}u_q+\nabla a_q-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\mathrm{div}\tau_q=G_q+(v_q\cdot\nabla u_q-\dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla u).$$

Taking the inner product of the above equation with u_q, integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u_q\|^2_{L^2}+\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}\left(\|\nabla u_q\|^2_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{div}u_q\|^2_{L^2}\right)\\
=\ &\frac{1}{2}\int\mathrm{div}v_q|u_q|^2-(\nabla a_q|u_q)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}(\mathrm{div}\tau_q|u_q)+(G_q|u_q)+(v_q\cdot\nabla u_q-\dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla u|u_q).
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Bernstein's inequality and the commutator estimates, we easily get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_q(t)\|_{L^2}+\kappa\frac{1-\omega}{\mathrm{Re}}2^{2q}\|u_q\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\
\leq\ &\|u_q(0)\|_{L^2}+C2^q\|a_q\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\|\mathrm{div}\tau_q\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}+\|G_q\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}+C\int_0^t\|\nabla v_q\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 4}\|u_{q'}\|_{L^2}dt',
\end{aligned}$$

with positive constant κ depending on d. Multiplying this inequality by $2^{q(s-1)}$ and sum over $q>q_0$, we arrive at

$$\|u^h(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}+\kappa\|u^h(t)\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|u_0^h\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}} + C\Big(\|a^h\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau^h\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})} + \|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}\\
&\quad + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\|u^l\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}\Big) + C\int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|u^h\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using Gronwall's inequality, thanks to (3.94), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}\\
&+\|a\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s}_{2,1})} + \|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s-1}_{2,1})}\\
\leq\ & C\exp\Big(C\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\Big)\Big(\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}}\\
&+\|F\|_{L^1(\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1})} + \|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})} + \|H\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})}\Big). \qquad (3.95)
\end{aligned}$$

The damping effect of τ

Applying $\dot{\Delta}_q$ to the equation of $(3.1)_3$ yields

$$\partial_t\tau_q + v_q\cdot\nabla\tau_q + \frac{\tau_q}{\mathrm{We}} - \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}D(u_q) = L_q + (v_q\cdot\nabla\tau_q - \dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla\tau).$$

Taking the inner product of the above equation with τ_q, integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\tau_q\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\tau_q\|^2_{L^2}\\
=\ & \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{div}v_q|\tau_q|^2 + \frac{2\omega}{\mathrm{We}}(D(u_q)|\tau_q) + (L_q|u_q) + (v_q\cdot\nabla\tau_q - \dot{\Delta}_q\dot{T}_v\cdot\nabla\tau|\tau_q).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimates of u^h, one easily deduces that

$$\|\tau_q(t)\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\tau_q\|_{L^1_t(L^2)} \leq C\left(\|\tau_q(0)\|_{L^2} + 2^q\|u_q\|_{L^2} + \|L_q\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 4}\|\tau_{q'}\|_{L^2}dt'\right).$$

Multiplying the above equation by 2^{qs}, and summing over $q\in\mathbb{Z}$, we find that

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})} \leq C\left(\|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} + \|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})} + \|L\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})} + \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}}dt'\right).$$

Gronwall's inequality implies then that

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{We}}\|\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})} \leq C\exp\Big(C\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\Big)\Big(\|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} + \|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})} + \|L\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})}\Big). \qquad (3.96)$$

To close the estimate, substituting (3.95) into (3.96), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}}\\
&+\|a\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s}_{2,1})} + \|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})} + \|\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s+1,s-1}_{2,1})}\\
\leq\ & C\exp\Big(C\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\Big)\Big(\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}}\\
&+\|F\|_{L^1(\dot{B}^{s-1,s}_{2,1})} + \|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})} + \|H\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})} + \|L\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})}\Big). \qquad (3.97)
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $H^k := (\mathrm{div}L)^k - \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d}\dot{T}_{\partial_i v^j}\partial_j\tau^{i,k}$, by virtue of Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, it is easy to see that

$$\|H\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^{s-1}_{2,1})} \leq C\|L\|_{L^1_t(\dot{B}^s_{2,1})} + C\int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}}dt'. \qquad (3.98)$$

Combining (3.97) with (3.98), then (3.2) follows immediately. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

4. Proof of Theorem1.1

Since the local existence and uniqueness of the solution (a,u,τ) to (1.8) have been proved in [14], it suffices to show $T^*=\infty$, where T^* is the maximal existence time of (a,u,τ). To this end, let us now denote $V(t):=\int_0^t\|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt'$,

$$X(t):=\|a\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1,\frac d2}_{2,1})\cap L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1,\frac d2}_{2,1})}+\|u\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1}_{2,1})\cap L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}+\|\tau\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})\cap L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})},$$

$$U(t):=\|a\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1,\frac d2}_{2,1})}+\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}+\|\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})},$$

and

$$X_0:=\|a_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac d2-1,\frac d2}_{2,1}}+\|u_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac d2-1}_{2,1}}+\|\tau_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1}}.$$

Clearly, $U(t)$ is continuous with respect to time t. Applying Proposition 3.1 with $s=\frac d2$, $v=u$ and

$$F=-\mathrm{div}(\dot T'_a u),$$

$$G=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}I(a)\left(\mathcal{A}u+\mathrm{div}\tau\right)+\tilde K(a)\nabla a-\sum_{1\le j\le d}\dot T'_{\partial_j}u^j,$$

$$L=-g_\alpha(\tau,\nabla u)-\sum_{1\le j\le d}\dot T'_{\partial_j\tau}u^j,$$

and employing the product estimates in Besov spaces, it is not difficult to verity that

$$\|F\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1,\frac d2}_{2,1})}\le C\|a\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1,\frac d2}_{2,1})}\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|G\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1}_{2,1})}&\le C\|a\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}\left(\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}+\|\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}\right)\\&\quad+C\|a\|^2_{L^2_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}+C\|u\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1}_{2,1})}\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}\\&\le C\|a\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1,\frac d2}_{2,1})}\left(\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}+\|\tau\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}\right)\\&\quad+C\|a\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1,\frac d2}_{2,1})}\|a\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1,\frac d2}_{2,1})}+C\|u\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2-1}_{2,1})}\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|L\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}&\le C\|\tau\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}+\sum_{1\le j\le d}\|\dot T'_{\partial_j\tau}u^j\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}\\&\le C\|\tau\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}+C\|\nabla\tau\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty})}\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}\\&\le C\|\tau\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1})}\|u\|_{L^1_t(\dot B^{\frac d2+1}_{2,1})}.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all these estimates, we infer from (3.2) that

$$X(t)\le Ce^{CV(t)}\left(X_0+X(t)U(t)\right). \tag{4.1}$$

Let us denote by C_0 the embedding constant of $\dot B^{\frac d2}_{2,1}\hookrightarrow L^\infty$. Then it is easy to see that $V(t)\le C_0U(t)$. Choosing a positive constant $c_\star$ satisfying

$$e^{CC_0c_\star}\le 2,\quad\text{and}\quad 2Cc_\star\le\frac12. \tag{4.2}$$

Define T_1 be the supremum of all time $T'\in[0,T^*)$ such that

$$U(t)\le c_\star,\quad\text{for all}\quad t\in[0,T']. \tag{4.3}$$

Combining (4.2) with (4.3), (4.1) reduces to

$$X(t) \le 4CX_0, \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, T_1). \tag{4.4}$$

Noting that $U(t) \le X(t)$, for all $t \in [0, T^*)$. Taking X_0 so small that $4CX_0 \le \frac{c_\star}{2}$, we then have

$$U(t) \le \frac{c_\star}{2}, \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, T_1). \tag{4.5}$$

This implies that $T_1 = T^*$, and (4.3) holds on the interval $[0, T^*)$ provided $X_0 \le \frac{c_\star}{8C}$. Accordingly, (4.4) holds with T_1 replaced by T^*, and hence $T^* = \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem1.1. □

Acknowledgments

Research supported by NSFC 11401237, 11271322, and 11331005. Part of this work was carried out while the author is visiting the Department of Mathematics at the Technical University of Darmstadt. I would express my gratitude to Prof. Matthias Hieber for his kind hospitality. The author also would like to thank Prof. Ting Zhang for his insightful suggestions.

References

[1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, "Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations". Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[2] J.-M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour équations aux dérivées partielles nonlinéaires. *Annales Scinentifiques de l'école Normale Supérieure* **14**(1981), 209–246.

[3] M. Cannone, A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **13**(1997), 515–541.

[4] M. Cannone, Y. Meyer, and F. Planchon, Solutions autosimilaires des équations de Navier– Stokes, in S éminaire "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" de l'École Polytechnique, Exposé VIII, 1993–1994.

[5] J.-Y. Chemin, "Localization in Fourier space and Navier-Stokes system, Phase Space Analysis of Partial Differential Equations". Proceedings 2004, CRM series, Pisa 2004, 53–136.

[6] J.-Y. Chemin, N. Lerner, Flot de champs de vecteurs non Lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes. *J. Differential Equations* **121**(1995), 314–228.

[7] J. Y. Chemin, N. Masmoudi, About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids. *SIAM J. Math. Anal*, **33**(2001), 84–112.

[8] Q. L. Chen, C. X. Miao, Global well-posedness of viscoelastic fluids of Oldroyd type in Besov spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **68**(2008), 1928–1939.

[9] P. Constantin, M. Kliegl, Note on global regularity for two-dimensional Oldroyd-B fluids with diffusive stress. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **206**(2012), 725–740.

[10] R. Danchin, Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, **141**(2000), 579–614.

[11] Y. Du, C. Liu and Q. T. Zhang, A blow-up critirion for 3D compressible viscoelasticity, arXiv:1202.3693v1 [math.AP] 16 Feb 2012.

[12] D.Y. Fang, M. Hieber and R. Z. Zi, Global existence results for Oldroyd-B Fluids in exterior domains: The case of non-small coupling parameters, *Math. Ann.*, **357**(2013), 687–709.

[13] D. Y. Fang, R. Z. Zi, Strong solutions of 3D compressible Oldroyd-B fluids, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci*. **36**(2013), 1423–1439.

[14] D. Y. Fang, R. Z. Zi, Incompressible limit of Oldroyd-B fluids in the whole space, *J. Differential Equations*, **256** (2014), 2559–2602.

[15] E. Fernández-Cara, F. Guillén, R. Ortega, Some theoretical results concerning non-Newtonian fluids of the Oldroyd kind. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **26**(1998), 1–29.

[16] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier–Stokes initial value problem I, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **16**(1964), 269–315.

[17] C. Guillopé, J. C. Saut, Existence results for the flow of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law. *Nonlinear Anal*. **15**(1990), 849–869.

[18] C. Guillopé, Z. Salloum and R. Talhouk, Regular flows of weakly compressible viscoelastic fluids and the incompressible limit. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **14**(2010), 1001–1028.

[19] M. Hieber, Y. Naito and Y. Shibata, Global existence results for Oldroyd-B fluids in exterior domains. *J. Differential Equations*, **252** (2012) 2617–2629.

[20] X. P. Hu, F. H. Lin, Global solutions of two dimensional incompressible viscoelastic flows with discontinuous initial data, arXiv:1312.6749 [math.AP].

[21] X. P. Hu, D. H. Wang, Formation of sigularity for compressible viscoelasticity, arXiv:1109.1332v1 [math.AP] 7 Sep 2011.
[22] H. Koch, D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier–Stokes equations, *Adv. Math.*, **157**(2001), 22–35.
[23] R. Kupferman, C. Mangoubi, and E. S. Titi, A Beale-Kato-Majda breakdown criterion for an Oldroyd-B fluid in the creeping flow regime. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **6**(2008), 235–256.
[24] Z. Lei, Global existence of classical solutions for some Oldroyd-B model via the incompressible limit. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, **27** (2006), 565–580.
[25] Z. Lei, On 2D viscoelasticity with small strain, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **198**(2010), 13–37.
[26] Z. Lei, C. Liu and Y. Zhou, Global existence for a 2D incompressible viscoelastic model with small strain.*Commun. Math. Sci.*, **5**(2007), 595–616.
[27] Z. Lei, C. Liu and Y. Zhou, Global solutions for incompressible viscoelastic fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **188**(2008), 371–398.
[28] Z. Lei, N. Masmoudi, Y. Zhou, Remarks on the blowup criteria for Oldroyd models, *J. Differential Equations*, **248**(2010), 328–341.
[29] Z. Lei, Y. Zhou, Global existence of classical solutions for the two-dimensional Oldroyd model via the incompressible limit, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **37**(2005), 797–814.
[30] F. H. Lin, C. Liu and P. Zhang, On hydrodynamics of viscoelastic fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **58**(2005), 1437–1471.
[31] F. H. Lin, P. Zhang, On the initial-boundary value problem of the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **61**(2008), 539–558.
[32] P. L. Lions, N. Masmoudi, Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, **21**(2000), 131–146.
[33] L. Molinet, R. Talhouk, On the global and periodic regular flows of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law. *Nonlinear Diff. Equations Appl.* **11**(2004), 349–359.
[34] J. G. Oldroyd, Non-Newtonian effects in steady motion of some idealized elastico-viscous liquids. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London.*, **245**(1958), 278–297.
[35] J. Z. Qian, Well-posedness in critical spaces for incompressible viscoelastic fluid system, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **72**(2010), 3222–3234.
[36] J. Z. Qian, Z. F. Zhang, Global well-posedness for compressible viscoelastic fluids near equilibrum, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **198**(2010), 835–868.
[37] R. Talhouk , "Analyse Mathématique de Quelques Écoulements de Fluides Viscoélastiques," Thèse, Université Paris-Sud, 1994.
[38] F. Weissler, The Navier-Stokes initial value problem in L^p. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **74**(1980), 219–230.
[39] T. Zhang, D. Y. Fang, Global existence of strong solution for equations related to the incompressible viscoelastic fluids in the critical L^p framework, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **44**(2012), 2266–2288.
[40] R. Z. Zi, D. Y. Fang and T. Zhang, Global solution to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model in the critical L^p framework: the case of the non-small coupling parameter, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **213**(2014), 651–687.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, P. R. China
E-mail address: ruizhao3805@163.com